UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,948	02/10/2004	Anders Astrom	35947-201058	8639
26694 7590 12/02/2010 VENABLE LLP P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON DG 20042 0009			EXAM	IINER
			TSAI, TSUNG YIN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/774,948	ASTROM ET AL.	STROM ET AL.	
	Art Unit		
TSUNG-YIN TSAI	2624		
	3		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 11/17/2010.
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incli	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the not	Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period icce of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 17-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ition on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>TSUNG-YIN TSAI</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>Vu Le</u> .	(4)
/Tsung-Yin Tsai/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/Vu Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624